

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

## DISTRICT OF NEVADA

Hadari Stallworth,

Petitioner,

v.

Warden Chestnut, et al.,

Respondents.

Case No.: 2:24-cv-02363-APG-BNW

**Order Dismissing Improperly Commenced  
Habeas Proceeding**

Petitioner, a federal prisoner, has submitted a pleading styled as a petition for writ of habeas corpus. ECF No. 1-1. Petitioner has not filed an application to proceed *in forma pauperis* or paid the filing fee. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(2) and Local Rules LSR 1-1, 1-2. Because this matter has not been properly commenced, it is dismissed. The dismissal is without prejudice to bringing a **new** action under 28 U.S.C. § 2241 with either the \$5.00 filing fee or a completed application to proceed *in forma pauperis* on the proper form with both an inmate account statement for the past six months and a properly executed financial certificate.

I THEREFORE ORDER that this action is dismissed without prejudice. The Clerk is directed to close this case.

I FURTHER ORDER that a certificate of appealability is denied as jurists of reason would not find my dismissal of this improperly commenced action without prejudice to be debatable or incorrect.

I FURTHER ORDER that the Clerk shall send petitioner two copies each of the application form to proceed *in forma pauperis* for incarcerated persons and the form for filing a

1 petition for a writ of habeas corpus under 28 U.S.C. § 2241 (with the instructions for both) and a  
2 copy of the papers that he submitted in this action.

3 Dated: December 23, 2024



4  
5 Chief U.S. District Judge Andrew P. Gordon  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23